

higher density of consumers in the upper tail of the distribution is immaterial, as it results only in a higher consumers' surplus. On the other hand, given the number of firms, demand becomes more elastic, due to a higher density of consumers whose reservation price is closer to the initial price. Accordingly, firms are subject to both a decrease in demand and a higher competitive pressure dictated by the new demand conditions. This results in lower profits which leads to a decrease in the number of firms able to survive, i.e., to higher market concentration.

## 4 Final comments

The endogenisation of market structure has always been a key topic in economic research. This paper contributes to this issue, suggesting a role for personal income distribution — a role which, to our knowledge, has not yet been investigated in detail. In particular, in this paper we have shown that the degree of income dispersion may affect the number of firms, *via* the market demand size and its elasticity.

This theoretical point can also shed light on some recent observed phenomena: specifically, polarisation in income distribution and increasing market concentration are two facts, that have characterised the last twenty years, both in the United States and in the EU countries. In a partial equilibrium perspective, these facts may be brought together along the lines suggested by our theoretical model — where the general framework is that of discrete-choice, unimodal income density and oligopoly behaviour *à la* Cournot on the firms' part. In this context, we envisage a causal link running from income polarization to market concentration.

Clearly, having consumers choosing discretely, and working in partial equilibrium proved to be quite helpful in two ways. The former assumption allowed us to establish a link between income and consumption, which does away with the issue of preference homotheticity; the latter allows to neglect possible feedback effects from market structure (and hence functional distribution) to personal income distribution. While both aspects are obviously relevant, our results are nevertheless robust with respect to two important features: they hold for any unimodal distribution, and can be applied to any market structure covered by the Cournot model.

## References

- [1] Aghion Philippe, Eve Caroli and Cecilia Garcia Penalosa (1999): Inequality and Economic Growth: The Perspective of the New Growth Theories, *Journal of Economic Literature*, **37**, pp. 1615-60.
- [2] Amir Rabah and Val E.Lambson (2000): On the Effects of Entry in Cournot Markets, *Review of Economic Studies*, **67**, 235-54.
- [3] Anderson Stephen, A. De Palma and J.F.Thisse (1992): *Discrete Choice Theory of Product Differentiation*, MIT Press, Cambridge MA.
- [4] Atkinson, Anthony B. (1970): On the Measurement of Inequality, *Journal of Economic Theory*, **2**, 244-263
- [5] Atkinson Anthony B., L.Rainwater and Timothy M. Smeeding (1995): *Income Distribution in OECD Countries. Evidence from the Luxembourg Income Studies*, OECD, Paris
- [6] Benabou, Roland (1996): Inequality and Growth, *NBER Macroeconomic Annual*, **11**, 11-74.
- [7] Benassi Corrado, Roberto Cellini and Alessandra Chirco (1999): Market Power under Income Polarization, *Journal of Economics*, **69**, 289-98.
- [8] Deaton, Angus and John Muellbauer (1983): *Economics and Consumer Behavior*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [9] De Jong, H. Willelm ed. (1993): *The Structure of European Industry*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht
- [10] Gottshalk, Peter and Timothy M.Smeeding (1997), Cross-National comparisons of Earnings and Income Inequality, *Journal of Economic Literature*, **35**, pp.633-87.
- [11] Jenkins, S. P. (1995): Did the Middle Class Shrink over the 80s? UK Evidence from Kernel Density Estimates, *Economics Letters*, **49**, 407-13.
- [12] Hamilton, Stephen H. (1999), Demand Shifts and Market Structure in Free-entry Oligopoly, *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, **17**, 259-275.
- [13] Kreps, David M. (1990): *A Course in Microeconomic Theory*, Harvester-Wheatsheaf, New York.

- [14] Levy, F. and R.J. Murnane (1992): US Earnings Level and Earnings Inequality: A Review of Recent Trends and Proposed Explanations, *Journal of Economic Literature*, **30**,1331-81.
- [15] Lyons, B. and C. Mataves (1996): Industrial Concentration, in S. Davis and B. Lyons (eds.) *Industrial Organization in the European Union*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [16] MacManus, M. (1964): Equilibrium, Number and Size in Cournot Oligopoly, *Yorkshire Bulletin of Economic and Social Research*, **16**, 68-75.
- [17] Mankiw N. Gregory and M.D.Winston (1986): Free Entry and Social Inefficiency, *Rand Journal of Economics*, **17**, 48-58.
- [18] Roberts, J. and H.Sonnenschein (1976): On the Existence of Cournot Equilibrium without Concave Profit Functions, *Journal of Economic Theory*, **13**, 112-17.
- [19] Ruffin, R.J. (1971): Cournot Oligopoly and Competitive Behaviour, *Review of Economic Studies*, **38**, 493-502.